Copper(II)-Mediated Intermolecular C(sp²)-H Amination of Benzamides with Electron-Rich Anilines

Bijaya Kumar Singh, Arghya Polley, and Ranjan Jana*

Organic and Medicinal Chemistry Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, 4 Raja S. C. Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Despite significant progress, copper-catalyzed/ mediated C–H amination reactions with electron-rich anilines remain an unsolved problem due to catalyst deactivation and deleterious side reactions. Herein, we report a copper(II)mediated $C(sp^2)$ –H amination of benzamides with electronically neutral or electron-rich anilines. A dramatic influence of silver(I) and tetrabutylammonium bromide was observed on



the reaction outcome. The present protocol also demonstrates the synthesis of a number of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

INTRODUCTION

Diarylamines are ubiquitously found in numerous biologically active natural products, medicinally relevant scaffolds, pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, dyes, and functionalized materials (Figure 1).¹ Conventionally, they are synthesized





through a palladium- or copper-catalyzed Goldberg crosscoupling between aryl halides and amines.² In recent years, a metal-catalyzed C-H activation strategy provides a direct access to the functionalized amines, obviating the need for aryl halides.³ In this vein, Pd, Ir, and Rh catalyses have been explored with amines⁴ and highly energetic azides.⁵ To circumvent the metal poisoning issues with amines,⁶ the use of inexpensive first row transition metals such as manganese, iron,⁸ cobalt,⁹ nickel,¹⁰ and copper¹¹ is emerging. A seminal report on copper-mediated sp² C–H amidation using a nonremovable 2-pyridine moiety was disclosed by the Yu group.¹² Subsequently, they accomplished a bidentate auxiliary-directed $C(sp^2)$ -H amination with electron-poor anilines.¹³ The Daugulis group also reported an 8-aminoquinoline-directed Cu(II)/Ag(I)-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ -H amination with aliphatic amines.¹⁴ However, sp² C-H amination with readily available and inexpensive anilines to afford diarylamines is extremely rare.^{15,4b} Notably, the Chang group

also reported iridium^{4b}- and rhodium-catalyzed¹⁶ C–H amination with electron-deficient anilines and aryl azides, respectively. This could be attributed due to the deleterious side reactions, especially azoarene formation and catalyst deactivation with nucleophilic amine derivatives.⁶

Very recently, the Daugulis group reported examples of copper-mediated C–H amination with 2,4,6-trimethylanilne.¹⁷ However, other electron-rich anilines such as 4-methoxy aniline, etc., are not stable under this condition, which clearly demonstrates the challenges associated with this transformation. Therefore, development of a general $C(sp^2)$ –H amination reaction conditions for electron-rich anilines is in high demand. We report herein a general copper-mediated C–H amination of benzamides with electronically neutral and electron-rich anilines (Scheme 1) to afford diarylamines in good to high yields. This methodology will enable direct access to a plethora of biologically active compounds (Figure 1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Under the Yu's conditions,¹³ the 8-aminoquinoline-protected benzamide 1 afforded amination product with *p*-nitroaniline (**3ab**, Scheme 2) in 60% yield. Gratifyingly, when the base was changed from sodium carbonate to lithum *tert*-butoxide and the reaction vessel was purged with oxygen, the yield was increased to 96%. Unfortunately, electron-rich *p*-methoxy aniline, **2**, did not furnish any amination product under these conditions, but a mixture of C–H hydroxylation (20%)¹⁸ and azoarene **5** (9%)¹⁹ was isolated as side products. Gratifyingly, 30% amination product along with azoarene **5** (45%) was isolated with the addition of 1.0 equiv of silver(I) acetate under a nitrogen atmosphere (entry 1, Table 1). Remarkably,

 Received:
 April 2, 2016

 Published:
 May 5, 2016

Scheme 1. C-H Amination of Benzamides with Aromatic Amines and Azides

. .



the hydroxylation and azoarene formation were suppressed substantially with the addition of tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB) (entry 2, Table 1). When the amount of TBAB was increased (3.0 equiv), the azoarene and hydroxylation formation were completely diminished (entry 10, Table 1). However, the role of TBAB is not clear at this moment. TBAB may form a soluble TBA(OAc) *in situ*, leading to a rapid precipitation of silver(I)bromide. To test

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

this, the reaction was performed with 3.0 equiv of TBA(OAc) in lieu of TBAB. We isolated 52% and 22% of amination product along with azo compounds in the presence and absence of silver(I)acetate, respectively (entries 14 and 15, Table 1). TBAB may be involved in the OAc/Br ion exchange on the copper salt. However, no amination product was formed using 1.0 equiv of copper(II)bromide, leaving a doubt on the exact role of TBAB. In fact, the Daugulis group also found that TBAI was useful for C-H amination reaction, which was proved inferior in this case (entry 5, Table 1). The yield of the desired amination product was further increased to 65% (80% based on recovered starting material) with 2.0 equiv of copper(II) acetate (entry 11, Table 1). From the control experiment, it was confirmed that a catalytic amount of copper(II) acetate is not effective for this transformation. During optimization, it was also observed that, depending upon the electronic nature of the anilines, judicious choice of oxidant is crucial for the reaction outcome. For example, in the case of electron-deficient anilines, oxygen promotes amination reaction and no external oxidant is required. However, in the case of electronically neutral or electron-rich anilines, even a trace amount of oxygen was proved to be detrimental and silver(I) acetate was found to be optimal (entry 13, Table 1). Therefore, the amination reaction with electron-rich anilines was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere with 1.0 equiv of silver(I) acetate.

Under the optimized reaction conditions, the substrate scope of the C–H amination reaction was explored. A wide range of benzamides containing functional groups were found to be amenable for C–H amination. Besides alkyl and alkoxyl groups, trifluoromethyl, aryl, and cyano groups remained intact under these reaction conditions. More interestingly, an acetyl group containing benzamide afforded the corresponding

-	$H_{H} = \frac{1}{1 + H_{2}N}$	catalyst additive <u>AgOAc (1.0 equiv)</u> ^{/BuOLi (2.0 equiv)} DMSO (0.066 M) N ₂ , 100 °C, 6 h 3 + 4 Ar - N ~ N - Ar 5		
entry	catalyst	additive	yield (%) of 3^b	3:4:5 ^c
1	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O (1 equiv)		30	15:0:23
2	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	TBAB (1 equiv)	42	21:4:8
3	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	TBAF (1 equiv)	15	15:0:0
4	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	TBACl (1 equiv)	16	16:0:0
5	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	TBAI (1 equiv)	18	9:0:10
6	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1 equiv)	5	5:0:12
7	CuBr ₂ (1 equiv)	TBAB (1 equiv)	0	
8	$CuCl_2$ (1 equiv)	TBAB (1 equiv)	0	
9	CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O (1 equiv)	TBAB (1 equiv)	0	
10	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1 equiv)	TBAB (3 equiv)	51	51:0:0
11	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (2 equiv)	TBAB (3 equiv)	$65(80)^d$	65:0:0
12		TBAB (3 equiv)	0	
13 ^e	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (3 equiv)	TBAB (3 equiv)	10	10:0:0
14	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (2 equiv)	TBA(OAc) (3 equiv)	52	13:0:3
15 ^f	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (2 equiv)	TBA(OAc) (3 equiv)	22	11:0:8

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out in 0.1 mmol scale. ^{*b*}Yields referred to here are isolated yields. ^{*c*}Product distribution was measured by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*}Yield in the parentheses is based on the starting material recovery. ^{*c*}Purged with O₂ instead of N₂. ^{*f*}Without silver(I) acetate.



^{*a*}All reactions were carried out in 0.2 mmol scale. ^{*b*}Yields refer to the average of isolated yields of at least two experiments. ^{*c*}Reaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$, 0.4 mmol of ^{*t*}BuOLi, O₂, 110 °C, 3 h.

amination product in high yields, which prefers facile imine formation with anilines under slightly acidic conditions (3e, Scheme 2). Halogen substituents such as fluoro, chloro, bromo, and even iodo on the benzamide or anilines remained intact, which is useful for further cross-coupling reactions to achieve molecular complexity. A number of amide protected nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as mefenamic acid, **3o**, tolfenamic acid, **3p**, and flufenamic acid, **3w**, were synthesized in good to high yields. Heteroaromatic benzamide and aniline also provided C–H amination product in moderate to good yields (**3y**–**3aa**, Scheme 2). In most of the cases with electron-rich anilines, the unreacted benzamides were isolated. It was not consumed completely even after the addition of anilines in excess. Prolonged heating also did not improve the yield; rather, product decomposition was observed. As discussed earlier, contrary to the electron-rich anilines, electron-deficient anilines such as *p*-nitro, *p*-cyano, or **3**,5-ditrifluoromethyl anilines provided the corresponding amination products in excellent yields using oxygen as terminal oxidant (**3ab**–**3ad**, Scheme 2).

Substituted diarylamines are useful synthetic precursors to a range of *N*-heterocycles such as 9*H*-carbazoles, quinazolinone, indazolol, acridone, acridine, etc.²⁰ The *o*-carboxylic acid substituted diarylamines also constitute various biologically active compounds such as SIRT1 inhibitor, MEK inhibitor, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), etc.²¹As an illustrative example, the present protocol was applied for the gram-scale synthesis of mefenamic acid. Under the standard conditions, the amination reaction between benzamide 1 and 2,3-dimethyl aniline was performed in 1.2 g scale, and an almost identical yield (60%) of the corresponding amination product was isolated. Refluxing the amination product in alkaline ethanol furnished the mefenamic acid in 90% yield (Scheme 3).





INVESTIGATION OF THE REACTION MECHANISM

Several control experiments were performed to understand the underlying mechanism of this amination reaction. The kinetics of the amination reaction with benzamide 1 and d_5 -1 was observed as $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 4.3, suggesting that the amination reaction may involve a concerted-metalation deprotonation (CMD) in the rate-limiting step (Scheme 4a). The Nmethylated benzamide did not furnish any amination product, which indicates that coordination of the copper center in bidentate fashion is crucial for the reaction to occur (Scheme 4b). A competition reaction between equimolar amounts of electron-rich p-methoxyaniline and electron-deficient p-nitroaniline afforded the corresponding amination products in 30% and 55% yields, respectively (Scheme 4c), whereas the respective reactions afforded higher yields, 65% (3j) and 98% (3ab) yields individually. This result suggests that not only the electron-rich anilines are difficult substrates for amination but also there may have catalyst inhibition by the amination products. For further clarification, the amination reaction was performed in the presence of an amination product, 3k (Scheme 4d). Only 15% of the amination product 3j was isolated, suggesting that the catalyst is deactivated presumably via chelation with the amination product. This

Scheme 4. Control Expeiments



also explains the requirement of stoichiometric copper salt for the present transformation. These control experiments suggest that the Cu^{II} complex may undergo a chelation-assisted C–H insertion to generate aryl-Cu^{III} species²² which reacts with *N*nucleophiles to provide the amination products.²³

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a copper(II)-mediated $C(sp^2)$ -H amination of benzamides with electronically neutral or electron-rich anilines. The present transformation is extremely challenging due to the formation of deleterious side reactions and catalyst inhibition by the amination product. This protocol provides direct access to the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Melting points were determined in open end capillary tubes and are uncorrected. TLC was performed on silica gel plates (Merck silica gel 60, f254), and the spots were visualized with UV light (254 and 365 nm) and KMnO₄ stain. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ using TMS as the internal standard. HRMS (m/z) were measured using EI (magnetic sector, positive ion) and ESI (Q-TOF, positive ion) techniques. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; only intense peaks were reported.

General Experimental Procedure for Benzamide Formation. The benzamides were synthesized according to the literature procedure, and the spectral data are consistent with the reported values.¹⁸

General Experimental Procedure for C–H Amination Reaction with Electron-Rich Anilines. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the substrates (0.2 mmol), $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (80 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (33.5 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), 'BuOLi (32 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv), TBAB (196.7 mg, 0.6 mmol, 3 equiv), and anilines (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (3 mL). The nitrogen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with 20 mL of saturated sodium hydroxide solution and collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired amination product.

Note: Aerial oxygen is detrimental to the amination reaction with electron-rich anilines.

2-(Phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3a**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (48 mg, 70%): mp 144–146 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.74 (s,1H), 9.57 (s, 1H),8.88–8.86 (m, 2H),8.21 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 1H), 6.95–6.92 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 148.3, 146.2, 141.4, 138.8, 136.4, 134.6, 132.6, 129.3, 128.04, 128.02, 127.4, 122.6, 121.69, 121.66, 121.2, 118.5, 118.0, 116.4, 115.6; IR (neat) v_{max} 3345, 2923, 1644, 1583, 1520, 1261; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₂H₁₈N₃O [M + H]⁺ 340.1450, found 340.1473.

2-(Phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide, **3b**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (47 mg, 58%): mp 156–158 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.75 (s, 1H), 9.66 (s, 1H), 8.86–8.83 (m, 2H), 8.20 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.56 (m, 3H), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.14– 7.09 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8, 148.4, 146.7, 140.3, 138.7, 136.4, 134.16, 134.19 (q, J = 33.80 Hz), 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.3, 123.8, 123.7 (q, J = 275.3), 122.1, 121.8, 120.5, 116.6, 113.9 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 111.7 (q, J = 3.7 Hz); IR (neat) v_{max} 3351, 3046, 1655, 1589, 1536, 1428, 1335, 1175, 1119; HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₃H₁₆F₃N₃O [M]⁺ 407.1245, found 407.1248.

4-Bromo-2-(phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3c**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (45 mg, 54%): mp 168–170 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.70 (s, 1H), 9.71 (s, 1H), 8.87 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.84 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.57 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.50 (m, 2H),7.40–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.8, 1H), 7.02 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.3, 148.4, 147.7, 140.3, 138.8, 136.4, 134.3, 129.5, 129.2, 128.0, 127.4, 127.3, 123.7, 122.2, 121.9, 121.8, 120.7, 117.5, 116.5, 116.4; IR (neat) v_{max} 3445, 3356, 2924, 2854, 1648, 1586, 1530, 1413; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₆BrN₃ONa [M + Na]⁺ 440.0374, found 440.0377.

4-Ethyl-2-(phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3d**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (46 mg, 63%): mp 82–85 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.71 (s, 1H), 9.68 (s, 1H), 8.87–8.83 (m, 2H), 8.17 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.47 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.78 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 149.5, 148.2, 146.4, 141.6, 138.8, 136.3, 134.7, 129.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.4, 122.4, 121.7, 121.5, 121.1, 118.1, 116.3, 116.0, 114.7, 29.1, 15.2; IR (neat) v_{max} 3351, 3046, 2963, 2926, 1727, 1650, 1528, 1262; HRMS (ESI, *m*/z) calcd for C₂₄H₂₁N₃ONa [M + Na]⁺ 390.1582, found 390.1562.

4-Acetyl-2-(phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3e**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (50 mg, 66%): mp 144–146 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.78 (s, 1H), 9.58 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.86 (m, 2H), 8.22 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.10 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.8, 167.0, 148.4, 146.4, 140.8, 140.1, 138.7, 136.4, 134.2, 129.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.3, 123.3, 122.1, 121.8,121.7, 121.3,117.3, 116.6, 115.1, 26.9; IR (neat) v_{max} 3357, 2923, 1688, 1652, 1527, 1381, 1307; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₁₉N₃O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 404.1375, found 404.1371.

2-(Phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide, **3f**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (48 mg, 59%): mp 169–171 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.31 (s, 1H), 8.96 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 8.78 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.55 (m, 3H), 7.46 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.04–6.98 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 148.4, 143.3, 141.1, 138.5, 136.3, 134.1, 130.3 (q, J = 4.5 Hz), 130.25, 129.8, 129.4, 128.3 (q, J = 30.8 Hz), 128.0, 127.2, 123.8 (q, J = 272.3 Hz), 117.1; IR (neat) v_{max} 3337, 3040, 2926, 1665, 1591, 1527, 1326, 1126; HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₃H₁₆F₃N₃O [M]⁺ 407.1245, found 407.1241.

2-(Phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide, **3g**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (42 mg, 52%): mp 171–172 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.74 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.83 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.30–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 149.3, 148.6, 139.9, 138.8, 136.4, 134.2, 129.51, 129.3 (q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 128.0, 127.2, 125.4 (q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 124.2 (q, *J* = 270.0), 124.3, 122.8, 122.1, 121.9, 119.0 (q, *J* = 33.0), 117.0, 116.6, 114.6; IR (neat) v_{max} 3348, 3216, 2923, 2853, 1654, 1534; HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₃H₁₆F₃N₃O [M]⁺ 407.1245, found 407.1248.

4'-Methyl-4-(phenylamino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3carboxamide, **3h**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (47 mg, 55%): mp 286–288 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.47 (s, 1H), 8.89 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.85 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21(dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.56 (m, SH), 7.52–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.0, 148.4, 145.0, 141.4, 138.8, 137.5, 136.6, 136.3, 134.6, 131.10, 131.06, 129.6, 129.3, 128.0, 127.3, 126.3, 126.2, 122.6, 121.8, 121.7, 121.0, 119.3, 116.5, 116.1, 21.1; IR (neat) v_{max} 3358, 3294, 2922, 1657, 1597, 1533, 1328; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₉H₂₄N₃O [M + H]⁺ 430.1919, found 430.1906.

4-Acetyl-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3i**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 7:3 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (62 mg, 75%): mp 138–140 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.44 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.84 (m, 2H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H),7.92 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 2H),7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.19 (m, 2H), 6.94–6.91 (m, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.53 (s,3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 167.2, 156.5, 148.4, 148.2, 140.2, 138.7, 136.4, 134.3, 133.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.3, 124.9, 122.0, 121.8, 120.0, 116.5, 116.1, 114.8, 114.0, 55.5, 26.9; IR (neat) v_{max} 3345, 2924, 2853, 1685, 1653, 1517, 1242; ; HRMS (ESI, *m*/z) calcd for C₂₅H₂₁N₃O₃Na [M + Na]⁺ 434.1481, found 434.1456.

2-((4-Methoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3***j*, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (48 mg, 65%): mp 120–122 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.73 (s, 1H), 9.44 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.86 (m, 1H), 8.86–8.85 (m, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.11 (m, 3H), 6.93–6.81 (m, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.0, 156.2, 148.3, 148.2, 138.6, 136.4, 134.7, 134.0, 132.7, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 125.0, 121.7, 121.5, 116.84, 116.77, 116.3, 114.6, 114.4, 55.5; IR (neat) v_{max} 3348, 2923, 1648, 1580, 1515, 1242; HRMS (ESI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₃H₁₉N₃O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 392.1375, found 392.1380.

N-(*Quinolin-8-yl*)-2-(*p*-tolylamino)benzamide, **3k**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (45 mg, 64%): mp 146–148 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.7 (s, 1H), 9.5 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.83 (m, 2H), 8.18 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 2H),7.19–7.12 (m, 4H), 6.90–6.84 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 148.3, 147.1, 138.8, 138.6, 136.4, 134.6, 132.63, 132.57, 129.8, 128.0, 127.3, 122.1, 121.7, 121.6, 117.7, 117.4, 116.3, 115.0, 20.8; IR (neat) v_{max} 3350, 3025, 2923, 2859, 1726, 1650, 1519, 1262; HRMS (ESI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₃H₁₉N₃ONa [M + Na]⁺ 376.1426, found 376.1419.

2-((4-(tert-Butyl)phenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3***I*, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a organge solid (47 mg, 59%): mp 280–284 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.72 (s, 1H), 9.54 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.87 (m, 1H), 8.86 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.21 (m, 2H),6.91–6.86 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 148.3, 146.8, 145.8, 138.8, 138.6, 136.4, 134.6, 132.6, 128.01, 127.99, 127.4,126.1, 121.7, 121.8,121.3, 117.9, 117.5,116.4,115.3, 34.3, 31.4; IR (neat) v_{max} 3351, 2959, 2926, 1651, 1590, 1520, 1324; HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₆H₂₅N₃O [M]⁺ 395.1998, found 395.2007.

2-((2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3m**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (37 mg, 46%): mp 252–254 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.72 (s, 1H), 9.24 (s, 1H), 8.92 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.86– 8.85 (m, 1H), 8.20–8.18 (m, 1H), 7.87 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.33– 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.10 (m, 1H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.58(d, J =3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 156.8, 153.8, 148.2, 147.8, 138.8, 136.3, 134.8, 132.5, 127.99, 127.96, 127.4, 123.7, 123.4, 121.6, 121.4, 117.6, 116.9, 116.4, 114.6, 103.8, 99.5, 55.8, 55.5; IR (neat) v_{max} 3337, 3313, 2949, 2830, 1643, 1540, 1511, 1211, 1159; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₃O₃ [M + H]⁺ 400.1661, found 400.1651.

2-((2,4-Dimethylphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3n**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (35 mg, 48%): mp 134–136 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.76 (s, 1H), 9.37 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.88 (dd, J = 4.2,1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J =8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.10 (m, 1H), 7.03–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.97–6.96 (m, 1H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.0, 148.2, 148.1, 138.8, 136.8, 136.4, 134.7, 133.8, 132.71, 132.68, 131.7, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 127.1, 123.8, 121.7, 121.5, 116.8, 116.7, 116.3, 114.7, 20.9, 18.0; IR (neat) v_{max} 3358, 3286, 1642, 1520, 1483, 1322; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₂₁N₃ONa [M + Na]⁺ 390.1582, found 390.1565.

2-((2,3-Dimethylphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **30**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (46 mg, 62%): mp 139–141 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.45 (s, 1H), 8.92–8.91 (m, 1H), 8.89 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.89–6.84 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.0, 148.2, 148.0, 139.3, 138.8, 138.0, 136.4, 134.7, 132.7, 131.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.0, 125.8, 121.8, 121.7, 121.5, 116.9, 116.9, 116.3, 114.9, 20.6, 14.0; IR (neat) $v_{\rm max}$ 3343, 2923, 1647, 1578, 1520, 1320; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₂₁N₃ONa [M + Na]⁺ 390.1582, found 390.1566.

2-((3-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3p**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (44 mg, 57%): mp 130–132 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.89 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.88 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H) 7.36–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.10 (m, 1H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.94–6.91 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 148.3, 146.9, 141.1, 138.8, 136.4, 135.4, 134.5, 132.7, 130.4, 128.02, 127.98, 127.4, 126.7, 124.6, 121.71, 121.70 121.1, 117.9, 117.8, 116.4, 115.4, 15.0; IR (neat) v_{max} 3435, 3358, 2921, 1657, 1590, 1528, 1324; HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₃H₁₈ClN₃O [M]⁺ 387.1138, found 387.1132.

2-((2-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3q**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (51 mg, 61%): mp 142–144 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.79 (s, 1H), 9.56 (s, 1H), 8.90–8.89 (m, 1H), 8.88 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J =7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.51(dd, J =8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.41 (m, 1H),7.38–7.35 (m, 1H) 7.28– 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.04(dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.97–6.94 (m, 1H), 2.48(s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 148.3, 146.7, 141.4, 138.8, 136.4, 134.5, 130.52 (q, J = 30.0 Hz), 130.51, 128.1, 128.0, 127.4, 126.1, 125.7, 124.48 (q, J = 271.5 Hz), 121.76, 121.73, 121.10 (q, J = 3.0 Hz), 118.18, 118.16, 116.4,115.4, 13.8 (d, J = 3.0Hz); IR (neat) v_{max} 3432, 3352, 2923, 1652, 1583, 1325; HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₁₈F₃N₃O [M]⁺ 421.1402, found 421.1400.

2-((3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, 3r, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (50 mg, 63%): mp 124–126 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.72 (s, 1H), 9.55 (s, 1H), 8.87–8.86 (m, 2H), 8.20 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.38 (m, 1H), 6.97–6.94 (m, 1H), 6.46–6.45 (m, 2H), 6.18 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.80(s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 161.4, 148.3, 145.6, 143.4, 138.8, 136.4, 134.5, 132.5, 128.0, 127.3, 121.74, 121.71, 119.1, 118.5, 116.6, 116.4, 98.8, 94.9, 55.3; IR (neat) v_{max} 3347, 2928, 1650, 1597, 1521, 1155; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₃O₃ [M + H]⁺ 400.1661, found 400.1647.

5-Bromo-2-((4-fluorophenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3s**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (55 mg, 63%): mp 190–192 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.62 (s, 1H), 9.38 (s, 1H), 8.88 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40– 7.36 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.09–7.01 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 159.2 (d, *J* = 241.5 Hz), 148.5, 146.1, 138.7, 136.7 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 136.4, 135.4, 134.2, 130.4, 128.0, 127.2, 124.3, 124.2, 122.0, 121.8, 119.3, 116.6, 116.4, 116.1(d, *J* = 22.5 Hz), 108.9; IR (neat) v_{max} 3443, 3343, 2923, 1653, 1541, 1511, 1390, 1206; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₆N₃BrFO [M + H]⁺ 436.0461, found 436.0476.

2-((4-Bromophenyl)amino)-4-fluoro-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3t**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (53 mg, 61%): mp 278–280 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.64 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.84 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.61–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 2H), 6.98–6.93 (m, 1H), 6.63–6.56 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 167.1, 165.6 (d, J = 248.2 Hz), 148.5, 148.3, 139.5, 138.7, 136.4, 134.3, 132.4, 130.3, 130.2, 128.0, 127.3, 123.5, 121.8 (d, J = 5.2 Hz), 116.4, 115.9, 114.3 (d, J = 1.5 Hz), 114.26, 105.5 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 101.2 (d, J = 26.2 Hz); IR (neat) v_{max} 3350, 3310, 1649, 1592, 1534, 1486; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₂H₁₅N₃BrFONa [M + Na]⁺ 458.0280, found 458.0271.

2-((4-lodophenyl)amino)-4-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3u**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (58 mg, 60%): mp 158–160 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.71 (s, 1H), 9.65 (s, 1H), 8.86 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.85– 8.83 (m, 1H), 8.20–8.19 (m, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.54 (m, 3H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.21– 7.15 (m, 1H), 7.05–7.04 (m, 2H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.7, 148.3, 145.5, 143.4, 141.4, 138.8, 138.1, 136.4, 134.6, 132.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 122.8, 122.7, 121.7, 121.6, 119.9, 116.33, 116.30, 115.9, 84.5, 21.9; IR (neat) v_{max} 3449, 3334, 1646, 1526, 1482, 1256; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₃H₁₈N₃IONa [M + Na]⁺ 502.0392, found 502.0369.

4-Cyano-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3v**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (43 mg, 54%): mp 154–156 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.74 (s, 1H), 9.48 (s, 1H), 8.86 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.82 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz,1H), 7.86 (d, J =8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.19–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.02 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.96–6.93 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 157.2, 148.6, 148.5, 138.7, 136.5, 134.0, 132.2, 128.6, 128.0, 127.3, 125.9, 122.2, 121.9, 119.5, 119.0, 118.5, 117.4, 116.6, 115.8, 115.0, 55.5; IR (neat) v_{max} 3420, 3320, 2910, 2229, 1659; HRMS (ESI, *m*/z) calcd for C₂₄H₁₈N₄O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 417.1327, found 417.1335.

N-(*Quinolin-8-yl*)-2-((3-(*trifluoromethyl*))phenyl)amino)benzamide, **3w**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 9:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (59 mg, 72%): mp 140–142 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.73 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.85 (m, 2H), 8.22 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.06– 7.00 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.6, 148.4, 144.8, 142.2, 138.6, 136.4, 134.4, 132.7, 131.7 (q, *J* = 31.5 Hz), 129.8, 128.2, 128.0, 127.3, 124.02 (q, *J* = 270.0 Hz), 123.1, 121.9, 121.8, 119.6, 119.3, 118.5 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 116.5 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 116.4, 116.0; IR (neat) v_{max} 3356, 3219, 1659, 1595, 1532, 1333; HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₃H₁₆F₃N₃O [M]⁺ 407.1245, found 407.1242.

2-((4-Methoxy-2-nitrophenyl)amino)-4-(pentyloxy)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, 3x, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 7:3 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a red solid (73 mg, 73%): mp 110-112 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.95 (s, 1H), 10.76 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.61(m, 2H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.11(dd, J = 9.6, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 2.4Hz, 1H), 6.70 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.83(s, 3H), 1.81-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.39 (m, 4H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.3, 162.4, 152.7, 147.5, 143.4, 137.9, 137.7, 137.4, 134.0, 133.9, 130.6, 128.1, 127.9, 124.0, 121.9, 121.5, 121.0, 118.6, 116.8, 108.6, 107.9, 105.5, 68.3, 55.8, 28.8, 28.1, 22.4, 14.0; IR (neat) $v_{\rm max}$ 3350, 2928, 2859, 1655, 1514, 1254, 1148; HRMS (ESI, m/z) calcd for C₂₈H₂₉N₄O₅ [M + H]⁺ 501.2138, found 501.2133.

3-((3-Methoxyphenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)isonicotinamide, **3y**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (26 mg, 35%): mp 128–130 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.85 (s, 1H), 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.87 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.23–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 5.4, 1H), 7.63–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.06 (m, 1H), 6.99–6.94 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 151.3,148.5, 140.6, 139.9, 139.0, 138.7, 136.4, 134.0, 129.5, 128.0, 127.4, 124.1, 123.7, 122.2, 121.8, 120.6, 120.4, 120.0, 116.8, 111.3, 55.7; IR (neat) $v_{\rm max}$ 3367, 3344, 2921, 2852, 1669, 1598, 1562, 1534, 1025; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₈N₄O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 393.1327, found 393.1334.

N-(*Quinolin-8-yl*)-4-(*m*-tolylamino)nicotinamide, **3z**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (44 mg, 62%): mp 160–162 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.87 (s, 1H), 10.11 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.88 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.83 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz,1H), 8.22 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.58 (m, 2H),7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz,1H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz,1H), 2.39 (s,3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 152.6, 151.9, 149.1, 148.5, 139.6, 138.7, 138.5, 136.4, 134.2, 129.3, 128.0, 127.2, 126.1, 124.4, 122.0, 121.9, 120.7, 116.5, 113.0, 108.0, 21.4; IR (neat) v_{max} 3370, 3353, 3045, 1648, 1603, 1535, 1326, 1195; HRMS (ESI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₄O [M + H]⁺ 355.1559, found 355.1566.

2-((6-Methoxypyridin-3-yl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3aa**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (33 mg, 44%): mp 108–110 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.46 (s, 1H), 8.88–8.86 (m, 2H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.90–6.88 (m, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 161.0, 148.3, 148.1, 142.4, 138.8, 136.4, 135.6, 134.5, 132.9, 131.3, 128.02, 127.99, 127.3, 121.72, 121.69, 117.4, 117.0, 116.4, 114.1, 111.1, 53.6; IR (neat) v_{max} 3352, 3290, 2922, 1651, 1583, 1534, 1486, 1273; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₈N₄O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 393.1327, found 393.1344.

General Experimental Procedure for C–H Amination Reaction with Electron-Deficient Anilines. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the substrate 1 (0.2 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (40 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), ^tBuOLi (32 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv), and anilines 2 (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (3 mL). The oxygen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phase was collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired amination product.

2-((4-Nitrophenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3ab**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a yellow solid (74 mg, 96%): mp 204–206 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.76 (s, 1H), 9.98 (s, 1H), 8.87–8.84 (m, 2H), 8.22 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.53–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.1, 148.5, 148.3, 142.2, 140.7, 138.7, 136.5, 134.1, 132.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.3, 125.9, 122.4, 122.3, 121.9, 121.8, 118.7, 116.6, 116.4; IR (neat) v_{max} 3347, 1655, 1595, 1528, 1329, 1111; HRMS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₁₆N₄O₃Na [M + Na]⁺ 407.1120, found 407.1117.

2-((4-Cyanophenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3ac**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (49 mg, 67%): mp 192–194 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.74 (s, 1H), 9.80 (s, 1H), 8.86–8.81 (m, 2H), 8.21 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.44 (m, 7H), 7.25–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.09 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.3, 148.4, 146.2, 142.7, 138.7, 136.5, 134.2, 133.6, 132.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.3, 122.2 121.8, 121.8, 121.7, 121.1, 119.7, 118.0, 117.7, 116.6, 103.1; IR (neat) v_{max} 3357, 2214, 1653, 1587, 1514, 1326, 1171; HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd. for $C_{23}H_{16}N_4O$ [M]⁺ 364.1324, found 364.1313.

2-((3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide, **3ad**, Scheme 2. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 8:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (76 mg, 80%): mp 168–170 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 9.92 (s, 1H), 8.87–8.82 (m, 2H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 2H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.14–7.09 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.3, 148.4, 143.4, 143.3, 138.7, 136.4, 134.1, 132.8, 132.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.2, 123.2, (q, *J* = 270.8 Hz), 122.1, 121.8, 120.9, 120.7, 118.2 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 116.7, 116.5, 114.4 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz); IR (neat) v_{max} 3351, 3205, 1648, 1530, 1387, 1279; HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd. for C₂₄H₁₅F₆N₃O [M]⁺ 475.1119, found 475.1121.

Gram-Scale Synthesis of Compound 30. The compound N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide (1.24 g, 5 mmol) was taken in an ovendried, two way 250 mL round-bottom flask which was connected through a condenser under a N₂ ballon and dissolved with 60 mL of DMSO; then it was degassed two times. Next, $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ (1.99 g, 10 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (0.83 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv), TBAB (4.83 g, 15 mmol, 3 equiv), LiO^tBu (0.80 g, 10 mmol, 2 equiv), and 2,3-dimethylaniline (0.9 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added in it. Then, it was degassed three times and stirred for 6 h at 100 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with 80 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 200 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (4 \times 50 mL). The combined organic phase was collected and dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired white amination product 30 with 1.1 g, 60% yield.

Synthesis of Mefenamic Acid, Scheme 3. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the substrate 30 (73.4 mg, 0.2 mmol) and KOH (448 mg, 40 equiv) were added, followed by ethanol (2 mL). Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 110 °C for 18 h. After completion of reaction, the solvent was evaporated by vacuum and EtOAc ($25 \text{ mL} \times 4$) was added to extract. The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient elute of 7:3 hexane/ethyl acetate to give the directing group with 26 mg, yield 91%. Next, the water fraction was acidified with 1 N HCl to pH 3–4, and extracted with ethyl acetate. Next, the organic fraction was dried over Na₂SO₄ and purified by chromatography on silica gel with a gradient elute of 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate to give Mefenamic acid with 43 mg, 90% yield.

2-((2,3-Dimethylphenyl)amino)benzoic Acid. Column chromatography (SiO₂, eluting with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate) afforded the desired product as a white solid (43 mg, 90%): mp 228–230 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.04 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.73–6.69 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.0, 150.3, 138.33, 138.28, 135.2, 132.9, 132.4, 127.2, 126.0, 123.7, 116.1, 113.7, 109.2, 20.6, 14.0; IR (neat) v_{max} 3341, 3311, 2860, 1650, 1575, 1506, 1445, 1254; HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd. for C₁₅H₁₅NO₂ [M]⁺ 241.1103, found 241.1097.

Control Experiments, Scheme 4. Intermolecular Molecular Kinetic Isotopic effect, Scheme 4a. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the compound 1 (24.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), d_5 -1 (25.3 mg, 0.1 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (79.7 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (33.3 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), ¹BuOLi (32 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv), TBAB (193.4 mg, 0.6 mmol, 3 equiv), and aniline (27 μ L, 0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (3 mL). The nitrogen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 45 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with 20 mL of saturated sodium hydroxide solution and collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired amination product. The product was analyzed by ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$).

Coordination Effect, Scheme 4b. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the Nmethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide (26.3 mg, 0.1 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂. H₂O (40 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (17 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv), ^tBuOLi (16 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), TBAB (98 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3 equiv), and aniline (13.5 μ L, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (2 mL). The nitrogen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 \times 20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with 20 mL of saturated sodium hydroxide solution and collected, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate, and from ¹H NMR analysis, it was found that no reaction occurred and starting material was recovered.

Competition Reaction, Scheme 4c. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide (24.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (40 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (17 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv), BuOLi (16 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), TBAB (98 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3 equiv), 4-methoxyaniline (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and 4nitroaniline (20.7 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (3 mL). The nitrogen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with 20 mL of saturated sodium hydroxide solution and collected, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired amination products.

Catalyst Inhibition Experiment, Scheme 4d. In a 15 mL sealed tube, the N-(naphthalen-1-yl)-2-(p-tolylamino)benzamide (35.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide (24.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (40 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), AgOAc (17 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv), ^tBuOLi (16 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 equiv), TBAB (98 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3 equiv), and 4-methoxyaniline (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added, followed by DMSO (3 mL). The nitrogen gas was passed to the reaction mixture for 1 min. Then, the tube was charged with a preheated oil bath at 100 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate and guenched with 20 mL of aq. ammonium solution. Then, it was filtered through Celite-545 and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 \times 20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with 20 mL of saturated sodium hydroxide solution and collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient of elution of pet ether and ethyl acetate to give the desired amination product 6 mg, 15% yield.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00659.

¹H, ¹³C NMR, and kinetic isotope study ¹H NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: rjana@iicb.res.in. Fax: (+91) 33 2473 5197.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DST, SERB, Govt. of India, grant no. EMR/2014/000469, and Ramanujan Fellowship, award no. SR/S2/RJN-97/2012. B.K.S. and A.P. thank UGC, and CSIR, respectively, for their fellowships.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ricci, A. Amino Group Chemistry: From Synthesis to the Life Sciences; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008. (b) Lawrence, S. A. Amines: Synthesis Properties and Applications; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2004.

(2) (a) Okano, K.; Tokuyama, H.; Fukuyama, T. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13650–13663. (b) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6338–6361. (c) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1534–1544.

(3) For recent reviews, see: (a) Kim, H.; Chang, S. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2341–2351. (b) Jiao, J.; Murakami, K.; Itami, K. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 610–633. (c) Louillat, M.-L.; Patureau, F. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 901–910. (d) Armstrong, A.; Collins, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2282–2285.

(4) For selective examples, see: (a) Zhu, D.; Yang, G.; He, J.; Chu, L.; Chen, G.; Gong, W.; Chen, K.; Eastgate, M. D.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2497–2500. (b) Kim, H.; Shin, K.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5904–5907. (c) Allen, L. J.; Cabrera, P. J.; Lee, M.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5607–5610. (d) Shin, K.; Baek, Y.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8031–8036. (e) Grohmann, C.; Wang, H.; Glorius, F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 656–659.

(5) For reviews, see: (a) Shin, K.; Kim, H.; Chang, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1040–1052. (b) Intrieri, D.; Zardi, P.; Caselli, A.; Gallo, E. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 11440–11453. For selective examples, see: (c) Park, S. H.; Kwak, J.; Shin, K.; Ryu, J.; Park, Y.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2492–2502. (d) Kim, J.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2203–2207. (e) Ryu, J.; Kwak, J.; Shin, K.; Lee, D.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12861–12868. (f) Lee, D.; Kim, Y.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11102–11109. (g) Thirunavukkarasu, V. S.; Raghuvanshi, K.; Ackermann, L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3286–3289. (h) Stokes, B. J.; Dong, H.; Leslie, B. E.; Pumphrey, A. L.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7500–7501.

(6) Davies, D. L.; Al-Duaij, O.; Fawcett, J.; Giardiello, M.; Hilton, S. T.; Russell, D. R. Dalton. Trans. 2003, 4132-4138.

(7) Kim, J.; Cho, S. H.; Joseph, J.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 9899–9903.

(8) (a) Alt, I. T.; Plietker, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1519–1522.
(b) Matsubara, T.; Asako, S.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 646–649.
(c) Liu, Y.; Guan, X.; Wong, E. L.-M.; Liu, P.; Huang, J.-S.; Che, C.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7194–7204.
(d) Nguyen, Q.; Nguyen, T.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 620–623.
(e) Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. Science 2013, 340, 591–595.

(9) Zhang, L.-B.; Zhang, S.-K.; Wei, D.; Zhu, X.; Hao, X.-Q.; Su, J.-H.; Niu, J.-L.; Song, M.-P. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 1318–1321.

(10) Yan, Q.; Chen, Z.; Yu, W.; Yin, H.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2482-2485.

(11) (a) Sokolovs, I.; Lubriks, D.; Suna, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6920-6928. (b) Kawano, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6900-6901. (c) Zhao, H.; Wang, M.; Su, W.; Hong, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1301-1306. (d) Monguchi, D.; Fujiwara, T.; Furukawa, H.; Mori, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1607-1610. (e) Wang, Q.; Schreiber, S. L. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5178-5180.

(12) Chen, X.; Hao, X.-S.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6790-6791.

4302

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

- (13) Shang, M.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 3354–3357.
- (14) Tran, D. L.; Roane, J.; Daugulis, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6043–6046.
- (15) Uemura, T.; Imoto, S.; Chatani, N. Chem. Lett. 2006, 35, 842–843.
- (16) Ryu, J.; Shin, K.; Park, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9904–9908.
- (17) Roane, J.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 4601–4607.
- (18) Singh, B. K.; Jana, R. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 831-841.
- (19) Zhang, C.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6174–6177.

(20) For reviews, see: (a) Schmidt, A. W.; Reddy, K. R.; Knölker, H.-J. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3193–3328. For selective examples, see:
(b) Liégault, B.; Lee, D.; Huestis, M. P.; Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5022–5028. (c) Koshima, H.; Kutsunai, K. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 1299–1302. (d) Moloney, G. P.; Kelly, D. P.; Mack, P. Molecules 2001, 6, 230–243.

(21) (a) Rice, K. D.; Aay, N.; Anand, N. K.; Blazey, C. M.; Bowles, O. J.; Bussenius, J.; Costanzo, S.; Curtis, J. K.; Defina, S. C.; Dubenko, L.; Engst, S.; Joshi, A. A.; Kennedy, A. R.; Kim, A. I.; Koltun, E. S.; Lougheed, J. C.; Manalo, J.-C. L.; Martini, J.-F.; Nuss, J. M.; Peto, C. J.; Tsang, T. H.; Yu, P.; Johnston, S. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 416–421. (b) Suzuki, T.; Imai, K.; Imai, E.; Iida, S.; Ueda, R.; Tsumoto, H.; Nakagawa, H.; Miyata, N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 5900–5905. (c) Suzuki, T.; Imai, K.; Nakagawa, H.; Miyata, N. ChemMedChem 2006, 1, 1059–1062.

(22) Ribas, X.; Jackson, D. A.; Donnadieu, B.; Mahía, J.; Parella, T.; Xifra, R.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Llobet, A.; Stack, T. D. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2991–2994.

(23) (a) King, A. E.; Huffman, L. M.; Casitas, A.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12068–12073.
(b) Huffman, L. M.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9196–9197.